1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	LINITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
11	AT SEA	TTLE
12	U.S. BANK NATIONAL	CASE NO. C14 1250D A I
13	ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON	CASE NO. C14-1350RAJ
14	MORTGAGE SECURITIES CORP.,	ORDER
15	HOME EQUITY ASSET TRUST 2006-7, HOME EQUITY PASS	
16	THROUGH CERTIFICATES ,	
17	SERIES 2006-7,	
18	Plaintiff,	
19	v.	
20	LAURA CASTILLO, MARTHA GONZALEZ, SOCORRO A	
21	HERNANDEZ, and All Occupants of	
22	the Premises located at 19015 Southeast 170th Street, Renton, WA	
23	98058,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		

1 3

5

7

6

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

¹ Plaintiffs incorrectly noted the motion for October 3, 2014; the motion should have been noted for October 10, 2014. See Local Rule 7(d)(3)("[M]otions directed toward changing 26 the forum through remand...shall be noted for consideration on a date no earlier than the fourth Friday after filing and service of the motion.").

This matter is an unlawful detainer action, which comes before the court on plaintiffs' motion to remand. Dkt. # 4. To-date, defendants have not filed an opposition to the motion. Accordingly, the court GRANTS the motion. See Local Civ. R. 7(b)(2) ("[I]f a party fails to file papers in opposition to a motion, such failure may be considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit.").

The court notes that defendant, Martha Gonzalez, has filed for chapter 7 bankruptcy protection in the Northern District of California, case number 14-43899. Dkt. # 6. Although the automatic stay prohibits the adjudication of the merits of plaintiffs' suit as to Ms. Gonzalez, it does not prevent this court from remanding the action. See Lindley Contours, LLC v. AABB Fitness Holdings, Inc., 414 F. App'x 62, 63 (9th Cir. 2011) ("[B]ecause we find that subject matter jurisdiction is lacking and do not reach the merits of Appellant's claims, we need not retain jurisdiction over Mr. Cooper pursuant to the automatic stay provision of § 362."); Evans v. Andersen, 2010 WL 118398, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2010)("[W]here the district court lacks jurisdiction over the action filed before it the court is not without power to remand the action and the stay does not deprive it of that power.").

The court notes that defendant Laura Castillo's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP application") is still pending. Dkt. # 1. Because the court lacks jurisdiction, the motion is moot. Accordingly, the court declines to adopt the Report and Recommendations of Magistrate Judge Mary Alice Thieler regarding the IFP application. Dkt. #7.

The clerk is directed to remand this action to King County Superior Court.

ı	ı	
1	Dated this 24th day of October, 2014.	
2		
3		Richard A Jones
4		The Honorable Richard A. Jones
5		United States District Judge
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		